



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

October 2022 Grand Jury

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

YASIEL PUIG VALDES,

Defendant.

CR 22-394 (A) -DMG

F I R S T  
S U P E R S E D I N G  
I N D I C T M E N T

[18 U.S.C. § 1503(a): Obstruction of Justice; 18 U.S.C. § 1001(a) (2): Making False Statements]

The Grand Jury charges:

COUNT ONE

[18 U.S.C. § 1503(a)]

A. INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS

At times relevant to this First Superseding Indictment:

Major League Baseball and Defendant PUIG

1. Major League Baseball ("MLB") was a professional baseball organization and the oldest major professional sports league in the world.

1           2.     MLB players were governed by MLB rules that were presented  
2 to players during a number of informational and educational programs.  
3 All players were responsible for knowing and adhering to the  
4 requirements and expectations of each of these rules.

5           3.     MLB Rule 21(d)(3) prohibited any player, umpire, or club or  
6 league official or employee from placing bets with illegal book  
7 makers or agents of illegal book makers.

8           4.     MLB clubs were required to certify each year that they had  
9 instructed their players and staff on Rule 21 and posted copies of  
10 Rule 21 in English and Spanish in home and visitor clubhouses.

11           5.     Defendant YASIEL PUIG VALDES ("PUIG") was a professional  
12 baseball player who played for the Los Angeles Dodgers between 2013  
13 and 2018. The Dodgers traded defendant PUIG to the Cincinnati Reds  
14 in December 2018, and the Reds traded defendant PUIG to the Cleveland  
15 Indians on July 31, 2019. As of April 2022, defendant PUIG played  
16 for the Kiwoom Heroes of the Korean Baseball Organization League,  
17 based in South Korea.

18                   The Investigation into Illegal Sports Gambling

19           6.     The Department of Homeland Security, Homeland Security  
20 Investigations ("HSI") and the Internal Revenue Service - Criminal  
21 Investigation Division ("IRS-CI") in Los Angeles and the United  
22 States Attorney's Office ("USAO") for the Central District of  
23 California were conducting a federal criminal investigation into  
24 federal crimes, including illegal sports gambling and money  
25 laundering (the "Federal Investigation").

26           7.     The operation of a sports gambling business in California  
27 was prohibited by 18 U.S.C. § 1955 and California Penal Code § 337a.

28

1                   The Wayne Nix Illegal Sports Gambling Business

2           8.     Wayne Nix ("Nix") was a resident of Orange County,  
3 California. Nix was a minor league baseball player from 1995 to  
4 2001.

5           9.     Sometime after 2001, Nix began operating an illegal  
6 bookmaking business in the Los Angeles area that accepted and paid  
7 off bets from bettors in California and elsewhere in the United  
8 States based on the outcomes of sporting events at agreed-upon odds  
9 (the "Nix Gambling Business"). Through contacts he had developed  
10 during his own career in professional sports, Nix created a client  
11 list of current and former professional athletes, and others.

12          10.    Nix used agents to place and accept bets from others for  
13 the Nix Gambling Business, thus expanding the business.

14          11.    Sand Island Sports operated Internet sports gambling  
15 websites, including [www.sandislandsports.com](http://www.sandislandsports.com) and [www.betprestige.com](http://www.betprestige.com)  
16 (hereinafter, the "Sand Island Sports websites"), hosted on servers  
17 primarily located outside the United States. Sand Island Sports also  
18 operated toll-free telephone services (the "call center") to  
19 facilitate sports betting. The Sand Island Sports websites and call  
20 center facilitated unlawful sports gambling by providing a platform  
21 to book makers to track bets placed by their clients.

22          12.    Agent 1 was a former collegiate baseball player and a  
23 private baseball coach. Beginning in 2019, Agent 1 worked for the  
24 Nix Gambling Business as an agent. Agent 1 placed and accepted bets  
25 from others and helped Nix maintain the Nix Gambling Business by,  
26 among other things, demanding and collecting money owed to the Nix  
27 Gambling Business by bettors and others.

28

1 13. As part of the Nix Gambling Business, Nix and Agent 1 used  
2 the Sand Island Sports websites and call center to create accounts  
3 through which wagers would be placed and tracked, and to set credit  
4 limits for bettors.

5 14. Nix provided bettors with account numbers and passwords for  
6 the Sand Island Sports websites and directed the bettors to use the  
7 Sand Island Sports websites to place bets with the Nix Gambling  
8 Business.

9 15. Bettors would place bets online through the Sand Island  
10 Sports websites, and through Nix, Agent 1, and others working at  
11 Nix's direction.

12 16. In January 2019, defendant PUIG met Agent 1 at a youth  
13 baseball camp, and Agent 1 later assisted defendant PUIG in preparing  
14 for the upcoming baseball season.

15 17. Individual A was a client of the Nix Gambling Business who,  
16 in or about June 2019, was owed at least \$200,000 in gambling  
17 winnings from the Nix Gambling Business.

18 18. Individual B was a private baseball coach who assisted  
19 defendant PUIG in placing sports bets with Agent 1 and assisted Agent  
20 1's efforts to collect gambling debts from defendant PUIG.

21 Defendant PUIG's Use of the Nix Gambling Business

22 19. Beginning no later than May 2019, defendant PUIG began  
23 placing bets on sporting events with the Nix Gambling Business  
24 through Agent 1. By June 17, 2019, defendant PUIG owed the Nix  
25 Gambling Business \$282,900 for sports gambling losses.

26 20. Between June 25, 2019, and July 3, 2019, in a series of  
27 text messages, Agent 1 and Individual B instructed defendant PUIG to  
28 make a check or wire transfer payable to Individual A.

1           21. On June 25, 2019, defendant PUIG withdrew \$200,000 from a  
2 Bank of America financial center in Glendale, California, and  
3 purchased two cashiers' checks for \$100,000 each that were made  
4 payable to Individual A.

5           22. On July 3, 2019, defendant PUIG sent the cashiers' checks  
6 to Individual A via the United Parcel Service ("UPS") and sent a  
7 photo of the UPS shipping label to Agent 1 and Individual B via text  
8 message.

9           23. On July 4, 2019, via text message, Nix provided defendant  
10 PUIG direct access to the Sand Island Sports websites, assigned  
11 defendant PUIG player identification number "R182" and password "yp,"  
12 and provided defendant PUIG the Sand Island Sports website addresses.

13           24. Between July 4, 2019, and September 29, 2019, defendant  
14 PUIG placed 899 bets on sporting events through the Nix Gambling  
15 Business, Agent 1, and Sand Island Sports.

16           Investigation into Wayne Nix and Agent 1

17           25. On January 27, 2022, defendant PUIG was interviewed in the  
18 presence of his attorney by HSI, IRS-CI, and the USAO regarding the  
19 Federal Investigation, including the cashiers' checks defendant PUIG  
20 sent to Individual A. Defendant PUIG, through his counsel, requested  
21 that HSI not record the interview.

22           26. At the beginning of the interview, a Special Agent from HSI  
23 admonished defendant PUIG that lying to federal law enforcement  
24 agents is a crime, and defendant PUIG stated that he understood.

25           B. OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE

26           27. On or about January 27, 2022, in Los Angeles County, within  
27 the Central District of California and elsewhere, defendant PUIG  
28 corruptly endeavored to influence, obstruct, and impede the due

1 administration of justice, namely, the Federal Investigation, by  
2 providing false information to, and withholding information from,  
3 HSI, IRS-CI, and the USAO. In particular, in a meeting between  
4 defendant PUIG and HSI, IRS-CI, and the USAO, defendant PUIG falsely  
5 stated that he had never discussed sports gambling with Agent 1 and  
6 withheld information about Agent 1's involvement with bets made by  
7 defendant PUIG and the payment of defendant PUIG's gambling debts.

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

COUNT TWO

[18 U.S.C. § 1001(a)(2)]

1  
2  
3 28. The Grand Jury incorporates paragraphs 1 through 26 of this  
4 First Superseding Indictment here.

5 29. On or about January 27, 2022, in Los Angeles County, within  
6 the Central District of California, and affecting the Federal  
7 Investigation in the Central District of California, and in a matter  
8 within the jurisdiction of the executive branch of the government of  
9 the United States, namely, HSI, IRS-CI, and the USAO, defendant PUIG  
10 knowingly and willfully made the following materially false  
11 statements and representations to HSI, IRS-CI, and the USAO, knowing  
12 that these statements and representations were untrue:

13 a. Defendant PUIG falsely stated that he had never  
14 discussed or talked about sports betting with Agent 1. In fact, as  
15 defendant PUIG then knew, defendant PUIG discussed and talked about  
16 sports betting with Agent 1 via telephone and text messages on  
17 numerous occasions, and Agent 1 assisted defendant PUIG in placing at  
18 least 899 bets on sporting events between in or about May 2019 and on  
19 or about September 29, 2019.

20 b. Defendant PUIG falsely stated that he had placed a bet  
21 online with an unknown person on an unknown website which resulted in  
22 a loss of \$200,000. In fact, as defendant PUIG then knew, defendant  
23 PUIG placed a series of bets directly through Agent 1 that resulted  
24 in the gambling loss, and not through a website.

25 c. Defendant PUIG falsely stated that he did not know the  
26 individual who instructed him to send \$200,000 in cashiers' checks to  
27 Individual A and that he had never communicated with that person via  
28 text message. In fact, as defendant PUIG then knew, Agent 1 and

